



WORKSHOP MEETING NOTICE OAK HARBOR CITY COUNCIL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Oak Harbor City Council will hold a Workshop Meeting on:

Date: Wednesday, March 23, 2016

Time: 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Location: City Hall Council Chambers, 865 SE Barrington Drive, Oak Harbor, WA 98277

Note that no action will be taken.

AGENDA

1. Departmental Briefings

- a. Transportation Plan Update – Project List/Financial Concepts – DS/ENG
- b. 2016 Update – Urban Growth Areas Element
- c. Windjammer Park Integration Plan Project Update

2. Pending Agenda Items

- a. Clean Water Facility – Hoffman Concrete Services (4/05) – ENG

3. Emerging Issues

Anna M. Thompson
City Clerk
Posted on March 17, 2016

POSTED: City Hall Bulletin Boards
www.oakharbor.org

EMAILED: editor@whidbeynewsgroup.com
news@skagitpublishing.com
media@whidbey.net

Mayor Bob Severns
Oak Harbor City Council
Directors

REMOVE: After March 23, 2016

The City Council may meet informally in workshop sessions (open to the public) to do concentrated strategic planning, review forthcoming programs of the City, receive progress reports on current programs or projects, or receive other similar information from the City Administrator, provided that all discussions and conclusions thereon shall be informal. Council shall make no disposition of any item at a workshop meeting. Public comment is not normally allowed at workshop meetings, although Council may allow, or request participation.

Please contact the City Clerk at 360-279-4539 within 24 hours advance notice for special accommodations.



Workshop Item

Departmental Briefing

Item 1.a Transportation Plan Update - Project List/ Financial Concepts

Steve Powers, Development Services Director

Attachments

Attachment A: Agenda Bill

City of Oak Harbor
City Council Workshop
Agenda Bill

Bill No. _____
Date: March 23, 2016
Subject: Transportation Plan – Draft
Projects & Financial
Concepts

FROM: Steve Powers
Development Services Director

INITIALED AS APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE COUNCIL BY:

_____ Bob Severns, Mayor
_____ Doug Merriman, City Administrator
_____ Nikki Esparza, City Attorney, as to form

PURPOSE

The City Council will have an opportunity to provide additional input on the transportation plan's draft project list initially presented at the February 3rd open house. The scoring criteria and project ranking, by priority network, was also presented at the February 24th Council workshop. At this meeting, staff and the consultant team will again ask for comments pertaining to the project list and begin discussing project funding and financial sustainability.

BACKGROUND

Through the assessment and public participation phases of plan development, over 50 projects were identified (the complete list of projects was included in the February 24th meeting packet). Incorporating the ranking method discussed last month, a list of the highest ranking projects was established for each priority network. See Attachment 1 for those lists.

DISCUSSION

Staff and the consultant team will present the process to develop a 20-year financially constrained draft project list. Traditional funding methods for new projects as well as system maintenance will be reviewed and potential sources of new revenue generation will be presented.

NEXT STEPS

With the project list solidifying, development of financial strategies to fund these projects will be the focus. The project team will have additional discussion with the Council on the financial sustainability and constraints during the month of April. It is also anticipated that a draft plan will be presented to initiate City Council review.

Ultimately, this plan will be blended into the overall Comprehensive Plan Update process scheduled for completion by the end of June 2016.

RECOMMENDATION

No formal action is required. We invite comments regarding the appropriateness of the project selection

City of Oak Harbor City Council Workshop Agenda Bill

process and the scope and scale of the projects listed. Any comments regarding transportation system funding will also be welcome.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Oak Harbor Transportation Plan – Projects by Priority Network.

Attachment 1

City of Oak Harbor "Top Tier" Transportation Projects by Priority Network

Priority	Project Name	Final Scoring Criteria
<i>Street Improvements</i>		
1	NE 7th Ave. Trail & Roadway Reconstruction	7.50
2	Midway Blvd. Road Diet	7.50
3	Whidbey Ave. Reconstruction	6.56
4	Whidbey Road Diet between N Oak Harbor St. & SR 20	6.56
5	SW Heller Roadway Improvements	6.25
6	SE 4th Ave. Roadway Improvements	5.63
7	Pioneer Way Road Diet between Beeksma Dr. to SE City Beach St.	5.63
8	W. Pioneer & City Beach St. Intersection Improvements	4.38
9	Oak Harbor at Crosby Road Intersection Improvements	3.44
10	NW Heller St. Overlay	3.44
<i>Pedestrian Improvements</i>		
1	Whidbey Ave. Crosswalks	6.56
2	Fort Nugent-Pioneer Way Trail Connection & Wayfinding	6.56
3	Whidbey Ave. Pedestrian Refuge Islands at Fairhaven Dr. & Jib St.	6.56
4	NE Regatta Dr. Sidewalks	5.94
5	Freund Marsh Trail	5.94
6	Fort Nugent Ave. Sidewalks	5.63
7	Fairhaven Sidewalks	5.63
8	SR 20 Sidewalks	5.00
9	Loerland Dr. Sidewalks	5.00
10	NE 5th Ave. Sidewalks	4.69
<i>Bicycle Improvements</i>		
1	Whidbey Ave. Bike Lane	6.25
2	Midway/Goldie Bike Lane	6.25
3	Fort Nugent Bike Lane	5.94
4	N Oak Harbor St. Sharrow	5.63
5	Barrington Dr. Bike Lane	5.63
6	SE Regatta Dr. Sharrow	5.63
7	Pioneer Way Sharrow	5.63
8	SW Heller St. Bike Lane	5.31
9	SW Erie Road Bike Lane	5.31
10	Crosby Road Bike Lane	4.69



Workshop Item

Departmental Briefing

Item 1.b 2016 Update - Urban Growth Area Element

Steve Powers, Development Services Director

Attachments

Attachment A: Agenda Bill

**City of Oak Harbor
City Council Workshop**

Date: March 23, 2016
Subject: 2016 Comprehensive Plan
Major Update – Draft Urban
Growth Area Element

FROM: Cac Kamak, AICP
Senior Planner

2016 Comprehensive Plan

Urban Growth Areas Element

Among the several elements that are being updated for the 2016 Comprehensive Plan is the Urban Growth Areas Element. The update to this element is necessary since new Countywide Planning Policies have been adopted for Island County.

Attached to this memo is a draft copy of the Urban Growth Areas Element of the Comprehensive Plan. The update to this element reflects the policies in the Countywide Planning Policies that were recently adopted.

Highlights of the amendments are summarized below:

- Policies to ensure that sufficient land is available to accommodate the 20 year projected growth
- Timing and methodology for considering expansions to the Urban Growth Area (UGA) boundaries
- Supporting policies for planning beyond the UGA in the Joint Planning Area (JPA)
- Policies and procedures for annexing properties into the City
- Policies to consider for annexation related development agreements

Attachments

1. Draft Urban Growth Area Element – marked up copy
2. Draft Urban Growth Area Element – clean copy

GROWTH MANAGEMENT ELEMENTS

GOALS AND POLICIES

URBAN GROWTH AREA

Introduction

The Urban Growth Area (UGA) plays a significant role in planning for Oak Harbor's future. Oak Harbor's UGA also assists the City in meeting State planning Goals; such as encouraging development in urban areas where public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner, reducing the inappropriate conversion of undeveloped land into sprawling low density developments, and protecting the environment and enhancing the state's high quality of life.

Consistent with the County-Wide Planning Policies, the term UGA includes both the incorporated land and the surrounding unincorporated area that is planned to accommodate future urban development. For a complete outline of urban governmental service standards, the reader is referred to the Government Services Element.

Goal 1 - ~~Establish and maintain the UGA boundary within which~~ **Support and encourage** urban uses and densities ~~will to occur~~ **within the UGA boundaries.**

- Policy:**
- 1.a Include urban density growth, and municipal public facilities serving that growth, only within the UGA boundary, as mandated by the Washington State Growth Management Act.
 - 1.b ~~Include~~ **Ensure that** sufficient land in the UGA **is available** to provide reasonable development opportunities ~~in addition to meeting~~ **accommodate twenty years of population and employment growth** ~~the projected future growth needs.~~
 - 1.c ~~The City shall seek~~ **Progress** ~~toward~~ **eliminating** unincorporated enclaves in order to provide for the most efficient provision of urban services within the UGA.

Goal 2 - ~~Engage Tthe City and County should adopt cooperatively in determining methods for expansions~~ **ding to** the UGA boundary **in accordance with the County-Wide Planning Policies.**

- Policy:**
- 2.a ~~The Urban GA-Growth Areas may be expanded during a GMA mandated periodic update cycle if necessary to accommodate a 20 year supply of buildable land as required by RCW 36.70A.110~~ **boundary expansion may be activated by a proposal from either the City or County. Both governments must agree on the boundary as required by the Washington State Growth Management Act.**

- 2.b** Urban Growth Areas may be expanded outside of a GMA mandated periodic update cycle if the expansion is necessary for one of the following reasons:
- a. Population growth in the UGA since the start of the planning period equals or exceeds fifty percent of the population growth allocated to the UGA at the start of the planning period; or
 - b. Employment growth in the UGA since the start of the planning period equals or exceeds fifty percent of the employment growth allocated to the UGA at the start of the planning period; or
 - c. Written notification is provided by the Department of Defense, or other reliable and verifiable information is obtained, indicating that prior to the next periodic update cycle, Naval Air Station Whidbey staffing will increase in a manner which would result in population growth equal to or exceeding fifty percent of the population growth allocated to the UGA at the start of the planning period; or
 - d. An opportunity is presented to bring a large scale business, industry, institution, or other significant employer to Island County, and the County and City agree that due to the facility or institution's unique characteristics there is no suitable land available inside the current UGA.
- 2.c** In considering potential UGA expansion scenarios, the City should consider alternative measures such as, increasing the densities allowed within their existing UGA or altering the uses allowed by their land use plan and zoning regulations. The viability of such measures should then be discussed with the County. In determining the viability of such alternative measures, the City may consider a full range of economic, social, and real estate market factors.
- 2.d** For UGA modifications proposed by an individual outside the periodic update, the City shall use a Type V review process to formulate a recommendation to the County.~~Base proposed expansion of the UGA on the percentage of developable land existing within the UGA as determined by the City Council as well as changes in the city or amendments to the Comprehensive Plan.~~

Discussion

~~The UGA boundary may be expanded through the joint planning process at the City's option when the city reaches a threshold of approximately 15% undeveloped acres of any one major land use category, i.e. residential, commercial and industrial land use categories, within the city UGA as defined in the Land Use Plan.~~

Goal 3 - ~~The City and County shall adopt inter-jurisdictional cooperation policies~~ **Coordinate and participate in planning the Joint Planning Area with the eCounty regarding land within and surrounding the UGA to ensure opportunities for urban growth is contiguous, serviceable and unhindered.**

- Policy:**
- 3.a** Joint planning should identify, in advance, the priority areas of future boundary expansion.
 - 3.b** Ensure regional growth capacity issues be a part of the consideration of the boundary expansion by the City and County.

Discussion

~~The City and County base their comprehensive plans on official population forecasts developed by the State of Washington Department of Financial Management. The forecasts are allocated to the four regions of Island County, with Oak Harbor located in the North Whidbey Island region.~~

- 3.c** Continue to use and amend as necessary the Interlocal Agreement between Oak Harbor and Island County as the primary means of implementing compatible land use policies, procedures, public facility planning and development standards and regulations within the UGA.
- 3.d** ~~Plan development~~ **Support the County in establishing policies and regulations that support directing development to** within the UGA **and discouraging it within the JPA** ~~for future annexation to the City, by ensuring uses are compatible with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.~~
- 3.e** ~~A portion of Ault Field should be included in the Oak Harbor UGA in the event the base is closed, land are surplus, or the base's mission is changed and joint use becomes possible. The City shall coordinate with the County, the Department of Defense and other agencies involved to develop a Master Plan for reuse of the air station should any of these events occur.~~

Discussion

~~Portions of Ault Field which could be included in the UGA are airfield functions such as operation areas, runway, structures, and clear zones (see UGA map). These areas are urban in character and served by water systems interconnected with the City.~~

Goal 4 - **Support Aannexations into the City ~~will occur~~ in compliance with the Washington State Growth Management Act and **in accordance with** the following policies.**

Policy: **4.a** ~~Land to be a~~**Annexed** should include only areas seen as logical extensions of the City **boundaries and its infrastructure**, located adjacent to existing urban development.

Discussion:

This policy is to be used solely as a guide to prevent leap-frogging and not as a means of preventing growth.

4.b ~~The City should a~~**void** annexations that would result in unincorporated enclaves within the UGA.

Discussion:

An unincorporated enclave is an area completely surrounded by incorporated parts of the city. However, the City may make exceptions to this policy in cases where the potential enclave is already characterized by urban density. In such cases, the City should first encourage petitioners to work with property owners inside the potential enclave to include them in the annexation area. Failing this preferred option, only then should the City consider whether the annexation would further other Comprehensive Plan goals, such as economic development, and otherwise be consistent with annexation policies.

4.b Annexations ~~to the City~~ should be based on evidence that public facilities and service capacities already exist or are planned for and can be efficiently, economically, and practically provided by either public or private sources.

4.c Annexations should not diminish the present LOS or create an excessive financial burden to existing and prospective property owners in the City.

4.d Ensure property owners within an annexing area are aware of foreseeable obligations or requirements that may be imposed upon them by the City at the time of annexation.

Discussion:

~~Provide foreseeable cost estimates where possible.~~

4.e Require existing buildings, within annexed areas, to meet the City's fire and safety requirements.

Discussion:

Public safety shall be ensured by the following:

- * An inspection will be conducted of all properties within the proposed annexation area. The Fire Department will identify deficiencies of fire and life safety codes to property owners and City Council. Actions for

addressing the deficiencies within specified time frames as recommended by the Fire Department and subject to approval by City Council, will be in the annexation agreement.

- * Upon annexation, existing buildings will be required to have minimum fire-flow within three years for mobile home parks, and two years for all other buildings, or by annexation agreement. Smaller, individually developed properties should not be burdened by excessive costs of utility improvements beyond their normal proportional share of costs. Costs should be proportionate to benefit.
- * Existing buildings not conforming to the City's requirement for fire sprinkler systems, will not be subject to retrofitting until the building is remodeled, modified or has an occupancy reclassification. Occupancies or portions thereof classified as hazardous and/or required to have fire suppression systems in accordance with the Uniform Building Code will be required to install an approved system within one year.

- 4.f** Assure that the City's fire rating is not reduced because of annexation by requiring upgrades/improvements to the infrastructure.

Discussion

~~The intent is to preserve the City's current fire rating and LOS and protect public welfare by providing a water supply of sufficient quantity and pressure for fire protection. In all instances, areas to be annexed should be analyzed for their potential effect on the City's fire rating. Programs should be established to assure improvements are made in the annexed area or to correct identified deficiencies made elsewhere in the City to balance rating deficiencies in the annexed area. Property owners in the annexing area may be required to pay all or a portion of the cost to correct the deficiencies in their area.~~

- 4.g** Maintain the existing level of police service when annexing new areas.

Discussion

~~The intent is to protect the residents of the City from a reduced level of police services due to annexation. In all instances the areas to be annexed should be analyzed for their potential effect on the City's current level of police protection. Increases in police personnel may be necessary in order to remain at it's present LOS. The City should have a method for analyzing the fiscal impacts of annexation on police services.~~

- 4.h** Annexation proposals should describe the method and level of funding for capital facilities needed to serve the annexed area.

- 4.i Proponents of annexation in developed or partially developed areas should pay their fair share of the costs of urban services and public improvements required to meet the City's LOS standards.

Discussion

This commitment to meet the City's LOS standards should be identified by all annexation agreements, including pre-annexation agreements.

- 4.j ~~The City may r~~Require, when necessary, the preparation of a fiscal impact study which addresses long and short-term economic impacts to the City.

- 4.k Annex, when possible, areas of sufficient size that square off City boundaries and enhance circulation.

Discussion

~~This policy makes subarea planning for local roads and utilities more efficient.~~

- 4.l Proposed annexations shall not result in the long-term reduction of the City's established LOS standards.

Goal 5 - ~~New neighborhoods~~Properties annexed into the City should contribute in a positive manner to sustain and enhance the quality of life for all ~~Whidbey Island citizens while promoting a strong sense of place for Oak Harbor~~through good design, layout and amenities.

- Policy:**
- 5.a Annexation agreements should include a preliminary ~~plan~~layout for a transportation network that emphasizes connections to existing neighborhoods, streets and pedestrian facilities.
 - 5.b Where topography allows, new annexation areas should develop in the traditional lot and block grid pattern that typified early Oak Harbor development and enhances the provision of public facilities and services.
 - 5.c ~~The City should consider the desirability of acquiring potential new~~Annexations shall explore the need for public facilities, such as trails, parks or open space lands, during the annexation review process with the cooperation of the petitioners.
 - 5.d ~~In a~~Annexation agreement for properties, requests where the surrounding land uses could be significantly affected by the potential land uses in the annexing area, ~~the City should require~~shall consider mitigations with a greenbelt designationbuffers of an appropriate width to ameliorate the negative impacts.

Discussion: ~~This policy would apply to the annexation of new industrial lands that abut properties that have historically been used for residential purposes.~~

~~**5.e** — The City should adopt standards that support the Comprehensive Plan annexation policies.~~

GROWTH MANAGEMENT ELEMENTS GOALS AND POLICIES

URBAN GROWTH AREA

Introduction

The Urban Growth Area (UGA) plays a significant role in planning for Oak Harbor's future. Oak Harbor's UGA also assists the City in meeting State planning Goals; such as encouraging development in urban areas where public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner, reducing the inappropriate conversion of undeveloped land into sprawling low density developments, and protecting the environment and enhancing the state's high quality of life.

Consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies, the term UGA includes both the incorporated land and the surrounding unincorporated area that is planned to accommodate future urban development. For a complete outline of urban governmental service standards, the reader is referred to the Government Services Element.

Goal 1 - Support and encourage urban uses and densities within the UGA boundaries.

- Policy:**
- 1.a** Include urban density growth, and municipal public facilities serving that growth, only within the UGA boundary, as mandated by the Washington State Growth Management Act.
 - 1.b** Ensure that sufficient land in the UGA is available to provide reasonable development opportunities to accommodate twenty years of population and employment growth.
 - 1.c** Progress toward eliminating unincorporated enclaves in order to provide for the most efficient provision of urban services within the UGA.

Goal 2 - Engage the County cooperatively in determining expansions to the UGA boundary in accordance with the Countywide Planning Policies.

- Policy:**
- 2.a** Urban Growth Areas may be expanded during a GMA mandated periodic update cycle if necessary to accommodate a 20 year supply of buildable land as required by RCW 36.70A.110.
 - 2.b** Urban Growth Areas may be expanded outside of a GMA mandated periodic update cycle if the expansion is necessary for one of the following reasons:
 - a.** Population growth in the UGA since the start of the planning period equals or exceeds fifty percent of the population growth allocated to the UGA at the start of the planning period; or

- b. Employment growth in the UGA since the start of the planning period equals or exceeds fifty percent of the employment growth allocated to the UGA at the start of the planning period; or
- c. Written notification is provided by the Department of Defense, or other reliable and verifiable information is obtained, indicating that prior to the next periodic update cycle, Naval Air Station Whidbey staffing will increase in a manner which would result in population growth equal to or exceeding fifty percent of the population growth allocated to the UGA at the start of the planning period; or
- d. An opportunity is presented to bring a large scale business, industry, institution, or other significant employer to Island County, and the County and City agree that due to the facility or institution's unique characteristics there is no suitable land available inside the current UGA.

- 2.c. In considering potential UGA expansion scenarios, the City should consider alternative measures such as, increasing the densities allowed within their existing UGA or altering the uses allowed by their land use plan and zoning regulations. The viability of such measures should then be discussed with the County. In determining the viability of such alternative measures, the City may consider a full range of economic, social, and real estate market factors.
- 2.d. For UGA modifications proposed by an individual outside the periodic update, the City shall use a Type V review process to formulate a recommendation to the County.

Goal 3 - Coordinate and participate in planning the Joint Planning Area with the County regarding land within and surrounding the UGA to ensure opportunities for urban growth is contiguous, serviceable and unhindered.

- Policy:**
- 3.a** Joint planning should identify, in advance, the priority areas of future boundary expansion.
 - 3.b** Ensure regional growth capacity issues be a part of the consideration of the boundary expansion by the City and County.
 - 3.c** Continue to use and amend as necessary the Interlocal Agreement between Oak Harbor and Island County as the primary means of implementing

compatible land use policies, procedures, public facility planning and development standards and regulations within the UGA.

- 3.d Support the County in establishing policies and regulations that support directing development to the UGA and discouraging it within the JPA.

Goal 4 - Support annexations into the City in compliance with the Washington State Growth Management Act and in accordance with the following policies.

- Policy:**
- 4.a Annex areas seen as logical extensions of the City boundaries and its infrastructure.

Discussion:

This policy is to be used solely as a guide to prevent leap-frogging and not as a means of preventing growth.

- 4.b Avoid annexations that would result in unincorporated enclaves within the UGA.

Discussion:

An unincorporated enclave is an area completely surrounded by incorporated parts of the city. However, the City may make exceptions to this policy in cases where the potential enclave is already characterized by urban density. In such cases, the City should first encourage petitioners to work with property owners inside the potential enclave to include them in the annexation area. Failing this preferred option, only then should the City consider whether the annexation would further other Comprehensive Plan goals, such as economic development, and otherwise be consistent with annexation policies.

- 4.b Annexations should be based on evidence that public facilities and service capacities already exist or are planned for and can be efficiently, economically, and practically provided by either public or private sources.
- 4.c Annexations should not diminish the present LOS or create an excessive financial burden to existing and prospective property owners in the City.
- 4.d Ensure property owners within an annexing area are aware of foreseeable obligations or requirements that may be imposed upon them by the City at the time of annexation.
- 4.e Require existing buildings, within annexed areas, to meet the City's fire and safety requirements.

Discussion:

Public safety shall be ensured by the following:

- * An inspection will be conducted of all properties within the proposed annexation area. The Fire Department will identify deficiencies of fire and life safety codes to property owners and City Council. Actions for addressing the deficiencies within specified time frames as recommended by the Fire Department and subject to approval by City Council, will be in the annexation agreement.
 - * Upon annexation, existing buildings will be required to have minimum fire-flow within three years for mobile home parks, and two years for all other buildings, or by annexation agreement. Smaller, individually developed properties should not be burdened by excessive costs of utility improvements beyond their normal proportional share of costs. Costs should be proportionate to benefit.
 - * Existing buildings not conforming to the City's requirement for fire sprinkler systems, will not be subject to retrofitting until the building is remodeled, modified or has an occupancy reclassification. Occupancies or portions thereof classified as hazardous and/or required to have fire suppression systems in accordance with the Uniform Building Code will be required to install an approved system within one year.
- 4.f** Assure that the City's fire rating is not reduced because of annexation by requiring upgrades/improvements to the infrastructure.
- 4.g** Maintain the existing level of police service when annexing new areas.
- 4.h** Annexation proposals should describe the method and level of funding for capital facilities needed to serve the annexed area.
- 4.i** Proponents of annexation in developed or partially developed areas should pay their fair share of the costs of urban services and public improvements required to meet the City's LOS standards.

Discussion

This commitment to meet the City's LOS standards should be identified by all annexation agreements, including pre-annexation agreements.

- 4.j** Require, when necessary, the preparation of a fiscal impact study which addresses long and short-term economic impacts to the City.

4.k Annex, when possible, areas of sufficient size that square off City boundaries and enhance circulation.

4.l Proposed annexations shall not result in the long-term reduction of the City's established LOS standards.

Goal 5 - Properties annexed into the City should contribute in a positive manner to sustain and enhance the quality of life through good design, layout and amenities.

Policy:

5.a Annexation agreements should include a preliminary layout for a transportation network that emphasizes connections to existing neighborhoods, streets and pedestrian facilities.

5.b Where topography allows, new annexation areas should develop in the traditional lot and block grid pattern that typified early Oak Harbor development and enhances the provision of public facilities and services.

5.c Annexations shall explore the need for public facilities, such as trails, parks or open space lands, during the annexation review process with the cooperation of the petitioners.

5.d Annexation agreement for properties, where the surrounding land uses could be significantly affected by the potential land uses in the annexing area, shall consider mitigations with buffers of appropriate width to ameliorate the negative impacts.



Workshop Item

Police Department Calls for Service

Edgar J. Green, Chief of Police

Attachments

Attachment A: Oak Harbor Police Calls For Service Feb 2015 / Feb 2016

OAK HARBOR POLICE

FEBRUARY 2015

Law Total Incident Report, by Nature of Incident

Nature of Incident	Total Incidents
-----	-----
911 Hang Up	91
Alarm Commercial	20
Alarm Residence	9
Animal	74
Assault Physical	13
Assist Agency	11
Assist Public	86
Bomb Threat	1
Burglary Attempt	1
Burglary Commercial	4
Burglary Residential	7
Civil	12
Court Detail	2
Court Order Violation	7
Custodial Interference	1
Death Invest Unattended	2
Disorderly Conduct	14
Physical Domestic	33
Verbal Domestic	26
Fire Commercial	2
Fire Residential	2
Fire Vehicle	1
Fireworks Complaint	1
Fraud Bad Check	3
Fraud Other	21
Harassment	15
Hazardous Material	1
Information Report	7
Juvenile Complaint	8
Juvenile Neglect/Abuse	5
Juvenile Runaway	3
Malicious Mischief	39
Medical Emergency	14
Medical Emergency	1
Mental Non Criminal	1
Missing Person	6
Non Injury Accident	21
Unknown Injury Accident	12
Noise Complaint	10
Nuisance Other	1
Patrol Check	4
Lost or Found Property	23
Prowler	2
Attempted Rape	1
Sex Indecent Exposure	3
Sex Lewd Conduct	1
Sex Offense Other	7
Soliciting	6

Threatened Suicide	11
Suspicious Person/Circumstance	47
Theft	27
Theft Services	1
Theft Shoplift	8
Threats	14
TMVWOP	1
General Traffic	43
TRAFFIC CRIMINAL FAIL TO STOP	1
Traffic Disable	13
TRAFFIC CRIMINAL DWLSR	5
Traffic Hazard	6
TRAFFIC POSSIBLE DUI	11
Trespass Other	14
Unsecure Premise	5
Utility Problem	14
VEHICLE ABANDON	12
Vehicle Impound	2
Prowl Motor Vehicle	7
Vehicle Theft	3
Verbal Dispute	5
Vice Liquor HBD	3
Vice Liquor MIP	2
Vice VUCSA	17
Wanted Person	25
Weapon Offense	9
Welfare Check	43

Total Incidents for This Report: 984

OAK HARBOR POLICE

FEBRUARY 2016

Law Total Incident Report, by Nature of Incident

Nature of Incident	Total Incidents
911 Hang Up	72
Alarm Commercial	29
Alarm Residence	8
Animal	74
Assault Physical	17
Assist Agency	7
Assist Public	89
Burglary Attempt	2
Burglary Commercial	2
Burglary Residential	7
Civil	25
Court Order Violation	8
Custodial Interference	6
Death Invest Unattended	1
Disorderly Conduct	15
Physical Domestic	23
Verbal Domestic	27
Commercial Fire Alarm	2
Fire Commercial	1
Fire Residential	1
Fraud Bad Check	1
Fraud Other	35
Harassment	19
Information Report	8
Juvenile Complaint	12
Juvenile Neglect/Abuse	3
Juvenile Runaway	6
Littering	3
Malicious Mischief	17
Marine Incident-Non Emergency	1
Medical Emergency	9
Medical Emergency	1
Mental Non Criminal	1
Missing Person	7
Non Injury Accident	20
Unknown Injury Accident	12
Noise Complaint	14
Patrol Check	6
Lost or Found Property	19
Prowler	3
Rape	1
Reminder (office use)	1
Sex Lewd Conduct	2
Sex Offense Other	5
Soliciting	5
Threatened Suicide	13
Suspicious Person/Circumstance	66
Theft	24

Theft Services	1
Theft Shoplift	3
Threats	14
General Traffic	42
Traffic Disable	3
TRAFFIC CRIMINAL DWLSR	7
Traffic Hazard	8
TRAFFIC POSSIBLE DUI	14
Trespass Other	23
Unsecure Premise	2
Utility Problem	12
VEHICLE ABANDON	20
Vehicle Impound	4
Prowl Motor Vehicle	16
Vehicle Theft	4
Verbal Dispute	6
Vice Liquor HBD	2
Vice VUCSA	16
Wanted Person	20
Weapon Offense	7
Welfare Check	34

Total Incidents for This Report: 988
